The Kidney Protective Effects of the Sodium–Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibitor, Dapagliflozin, Are Present in Patients With CKD Treated With Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonists
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Introduction: Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs) and sodium–glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitors reduce the risk of kidney failure in chronic kidney disease (CKD). We performed an analysis of the DAPA-CKD trial baseline conventional MRA (spironolactone and eplerenone) prescription.

Methods: Participants with CKD (estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] 25–75 ml/min per 1.73 m²; urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio 200–500 mg/g), with or without type 2 diabetes, were randomized 1:1 to dapagliflozin 10 mg or placebo, once daily. The primary outcome was a composite of sustained ≥50% eGFR decline, end-stage kidney disease, or kidney or cardiovascular (CV) death. A prespecified kidney-specific secondary outcome was the kidney-specific outcome but without CV death. Hyperkalemia (serum potassium ≥6.0 mmol/l) was an exploratory end point. Time-to-event analyses (rate of event [Cox] regression) assessed dapagliflozin versus placebo in patient subgroups defined by baseline conventional MRA use.

Results: A total of 229 of 4304 DAPA-CKD participants (5.3%) were receiving conventional MRAs at baseline (dapagliflozin n = 109, placebo n = 120). The effect of dapagliflozin on the primary outcome was consistent in participants prescribed (hazard ratio [HR] 0.76, 95% CI 0.40–1.47) and not prescribed (HR 0.69, 95% CI 0.41–0.97) MRAs. This consistency was maintained for the kidney-specific outcome. The effect of dapagliflozin on hyperkalemia (HR 0.87, 95% CI 0.70–1.09) was consistent among those prescribed (HR 0.94, 95% CI 0.41–2.20) and not prescribed (HR 0.87, 95% CI 0.69–1.10, P-interaction = 0.96) MRAs. Adverse events (AEs) leading to discontinuation and serious AEs were similar between treatment groups, regardless of baseline MRA prescription.

Conclusion: Dapagliflozin was similarly safe and efficacious in reducing major adverse kidney outcomes in participants with CKD who were or were not prescribed MRAs at baseline.
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Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers have been the mainstay of treatment for the prevention of kidney failure in patients with CKD over the last 2 decades. Despite use of these agents, however, the risk of kidney failure remains high. New therapeutic options to
slow progressive loss of kidney function in patients with CKD with and without diabetes have emerged.\(^1\)

MRAs reduce albuminuria in patients with CKD, but clinical trials to establish their effects on major kidney outcomes were lacking until recently.\(^2\) The FIDELIO-DKD and FIGARO-DKD trials demonstrated that the nonsteroidal MRA, finerenone, significantly reduces the risk of a composite kidney outcome of 40% eGFR decline, end-stage kidney disease, or renal death by 18% and 13%, respectively, in patients with type 2 diabetes and CKD.\(^3,4\) In addition, SGLT2 inhibitors reduce the risk of kidney failure and slow the progression of eGFR decline.\(^5,6\) These benefits were initially demonstrated in the CREDENCE trial, which recruited patients with type 2 diabetes and CKD. The DAPA-CKD trial confirmed and extended these findings by demonstrating that the 39% reduction in risk of the composite kidney end point was similar in patients with CKD with or without type 2 diabetes.\(^7\)

The DAPA-CKD trial enrolled patients treated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers and also allowed treatment with conventional MRAs (spironolactone and eplerenone). We report the efficacy and safety of dapagliflozin in patients with CKD who were or were not treated with MRAs in DAPA-CKD.

**METHODS**

DAPA-CKD was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter clinical trial. The design and main results have been published previously.\(^6,8\) In brief, adults with or without type 2 diabetes, eGFR 25 to 75 ml/min per 1.73 m\(^2\), and urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR) 200 to 5000 mg/g were eligible for participation. All participants used a stable dose of an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker for ≥4 weeks unless medically contraindicated. Use of conventional steroidal MRAs (spironolactone and eplerenone) was permitted. A complete list of inclusion and exclusion criteria and the trial protocol have been previously published.\(^8\) Participants were randomly assigned to dapagliflozin 10 mg once daily or matching placebo. After randomization, in-person study visits were performed after 2 weeks and 2, 4, and 8 months and at 4-month intervals thereafter.

The primary composite end point was time to 50% decline in eGFR (confirmed by a second measurement after at least 28 days), onset of kidney failure (defined as maintenance dialysis for at least 28 days, kidney transplantation, or eGFR <15 ml/min per 1.73 m\(^2\) confirmed by a second measurement after at least 28 days), or death from a kidney or CV cause. A secondary, kidney-specific end point was defined in the same way as the primary end point but excluding CV death. The 2 other secondary end points were heart failure hospitalization or CV death, and all-cause mortality. Change in eGFR slope was prespecified as an exploratory efficacy end point. All efficacy end

| Table 1. Baseline characteristics by baseline MRA use |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| XXX              | MRA use (n = 109) | No MRA use (n = 2043) | MRA use (n = 120) | No MRA use (n = 2032) |
| Age, yr          | 61.8 ± 10.6     | 61.8 ± 12.1     | 62.6 ± 10.7     | 61.8 ± 12.2     |
| Female sex, n (%)| 30 (27.5)       | 679 (33.2)      | 32 (26.7)       | 684 (33.7)      |
| BMI, kg/m\(^2\)  | 32.2 ± 7.9      | 29.2 ± 5.9      | 33.1 ± 7.3      | 29.4 ± 6.2      |
| Blood pressure, mm Hg |               |                 |                 |                 |
| Systolic         | 138.1 ± 19.2    | 136.7 ± 17.4    | 137.9 ± 19.7    | 137.4 ± 17.2    |
| Diastolic        | 76.5 ± 12.1     | 77.5 ± 10.6     | 77.6 ± 10.8     | 77.5 ± 10.3     |
| eGFR, ml/min per 1.73 m\(^2\) | 44.3 ± 12.4 | 43.2 ± 12.3 | 43.1 ± 11.4 | 43.0 ± 12.5 |
| HbA1c, %         | 7.1 ± 1.5       | 7.1 ± 1.7       | 7.4 ± 1.9       | 7.0 ± 1.7       |
| Type 2 diabetes, n (%) | 82 (75.2) | 1373 (67.2) | 89 (74.2) | 1362 (67.0) |
| Heart failure history, n (%) | 36 (33.0) | 199 (9.7) | 45 (37.5) | 188 (9.3) |
| Baseline medication use, n (%) | | | | |
| MRAa             |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Spironolactone   | 90 (82.6)       | 0 (0)           | 106 (88.3)      | 0 (0)           |
| Eplerenone       | 18 (16.5)       | 0 (0)           | 14 (11.7)       | 0 (0)           |
| Loop diuretic    | 62 (56.9)       | 461 (22.6)      | 67 (55.8)       | 468 (22.9)      |
| Thiazide diuretic| 36 (33.0)       | 416 (20.4)      | 28 (23.3)       | 426 (21.0)      |
| β-blocker        | 73 (67.0)       | 773 (37.8)      | 82 (68.3)       | 752 (37.0)      |
| Statin           | 87 (79.8)       | 1308 (64.0)     | 93 (77.5)       | 1306 (64.3)     |
| Antithrombotic agent | 70 (64.2) | 952 (46.6) | 87 (72.5) | 933 (45.9) |

BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; IQR, interquartile range; MRA, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; UACR, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio.

*One patient in the dapagliflozin group was treated with an MRA, but the type of MRA (spironolactone or eplerenone) was unknown.

Data are presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise stated.
points were adjudicated by a masked, independent event adjudication committee, except for the quantitative assessments of eGFR-based end points—50% eGFR decline, eGFR <15 ml/min per 1.73 m², or eGFR slope—which were obtained from our central laboratory.

We conducted time-to-event analyses to assess effects of dapagliflozin versus placebo using a proportional hazards (Cox) regression stratified by randomization factors (diabetes status and UACR), adjusting for baseline eGFR. We analyzed the effects of dapagliflozin on the mean on-treatment eGFR slope by fitting a two-slope mixed effects linear spline model (with a knot at week 2) with a random intercept and random slopes for treatment. Details of the analytical approach have been published previously.6,8

**RESULTS**

Out of 4304 participants, 229 (5.3%) were treated with conventional MRAs at baseline; 109 were assigned to dapagliflozin and 120 to placebo (Table 1). Compared
with patients not treated with MRAs at baseline, those treated with MRAs were more frequently male and more likely to have a history of heart failure and type 2 diabetes, along with a higher body mass index and higher UACR. Participants treated with MRAs were also more likely to be treated with other CV therapies including diuretics, beta-blockers, statins, and antithrombotic medications (Table 1).

The relative risk reduction for the primary composite end point achieved with dapagliflozin versus placebo was similar in participants treated with MRAs at baseline (HR 0.76, 95% CI 0.40–1.47) compared with those not treated (HR 0.60, 95% CI 0.50–0.72, P-interaction = 0.59) (Figure 1a). Similarly, the benefit of dapagliflozin versus placebo on the secondary composite kidney end point did not differ in patients treated with MRAs (HR 0.61, 95% CI 0.24–1.57) and those who were not (HR 0.56, 95% CI 0.45–0.69, P-interaction = 0.96) (Figure 1a and b). Benefits of dapagliflozin on the individual components of the primary composite end point were also consistent by baseline MRA use (Figure 1a). The benefits of dapagliflozin on the other 2 secondary end points (heart failure hospitalization or CV death and all-cause mortality) were also consistent among those treated or not treated with MRAs at baseline (Figure 1a).

Compared with placebo, dapagliflozin caused an acute decline in eGFR from baseline to week 2, and this effect was similar irrespective of MRA use. Thereafter, dapagliflozin reduced the rate of eGFR decline to a similar extent in patients treated with MRAs at baseline (difference [placebo vs. dapagliflozin] 2.0 ml/min per 1.73 m²/yr, 95% CI 0.7–3.3) (Figure 2a) and those not treated (difference [placebo vs. dapagliflozin] 2.5 ml/min per 1.73 m²/yr, 95% CI 0.8–4.2) (Figure 2b).
vs dapagliplon] 1.9 ml/min per 1.73 m²/yr, 95% CI 1.6–2.2, P-interaction = 0.48) (Figure 2b). Effects of dapagliplon on UACR were also consistent according to baseline MRA use (Figure 3).

With respect to safety, serious AEs were more frequently reported in participants treated with MRAs compared with those not treated with MRAs at baseline, but this was true for both the dapagliplon and placebo groups. The incidence of AEs leading to study drug discontinuation or serious AEs did not differ between dapagliplon and placebo groups and was similar in participants treated and not treated with MRAs at baseline (Table 2). The effect of dapagliplon versus placebo on hyperkalemia (HR 0.87, 95% CI 0.70–1.09) was consistent among those treated with MRAs at baseline (HR 0.94, 95% CI 0.41–2.20) compared with those not treated (HR 0.87, 95% CI 0.69–1.10, P-interaction = 0.96).

**DISCUSSION**

We demonstrated that the benefit of dapagliplon in patients with CKD with and without type 2 diabetes on the primary composite and other kidney end points were consistent in patients who were or were not treated with conventional steroidal MRAs at baseline. The safety and tolerability of dapagliplon was also consistent irrespective of MRA use. These data suggest that the kidney protective effects of both drug classes are possibly complementary and support future trials.
to prospectively assess the efficacy and safety of combination therapy with SGLT2 inhibitors and MRAs.

The nephroprotective effects of SGLT2 inhibitors and MRAs can be explained through common and distinct mechanistic pathways. Both classes of agents exert hemodynamic effects and result in a reduction in intraglomerular pressure, which likely contributes to long-term stabilization of kidney function. The blood pressure lowering effects of both drug classes may exert additional benefit. There are also possibly complementary mechanisms. SGLT2 inhibitors improve tubular oxygenation and reduce tubular hypoxic stress. MRAs reduce renal fibrosis and glomerulosclerosis by deactivating the mineralocorticoid receptor in fibroblasts. Experimental and clinical studies with the MRA, finerenone, support potential complimentary effects. *A post hoc* analysis from the FIDELIO-DKD trial demonstrated that finerenone compared with placebo reduced UACR in patients treated with SGLT2 inhibitors at baseline (25% reduction, 95% CI 10–38) and in those not treated (31% UACR reduction, 95% CI 29–34). A preclinical rat study of cardio-renal disease demonstrated that combination therapy with empagliflozin and finerenone resulted in a synergistic effect on albuminuria lowering and improved survival compared with either therapy alone.

MRAs increase serum potassium concentration and the risk of hyperkalemia. These effects are more pronounced in patients with CKD and heart failure, who may be most apt to benefit from these therapies. In contrast, SGLT2 inhibitors reduce the risk of hyperkalemia. For example, in patients with type 2 diabetes and CKD participating in the CREDENCE trial, canagliflozin reduced the risk of investigator-reported hyperkalemia-related AEs, initiation of potassium-sparing medications, and serum potassium concentrations ≥6.0 mmol/L. Moreover, in the DAPA-HF trial, among patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction who were receiving MRAs, dapagliflozin reduced the incidence of hyperkalemia. In the DAPA-CKD trial, numerically fewer patients experienced hyperkalemia irrespective of baseline MRA use. These data provide a compelling rationale to test the long-term efficacy and safety of combination SGLT2 inhibitor—MRA in patients with CKD and heart failure.

This study has some limitations. There were relatively few participants treated with MRAs at baseline, which limits the precision of the effect estimates. In addition, we were unable to assess the effect of initiation of combination therapy because participants were on a stable dose of MRAs before enrolment into the trial. As a result, we were unable to test the hypothesis that dapagliflozin in combination with MRA treatment results in additive or synergistic effects. Nevertheless, the nearly identical effect sizes suggest that there are independent effects of both agents in this population.

In conclusion, in patients with CKD with and without type 2 diabetes, the effects of dapagliflozin in reducing the incidence of the primary composite and composite kidney end points, and of attenuating the decline in eGFR slope, were present in patients treated or not treated with MRAs at baseline. These findings support assessment of combination therapy with MRAs and SGLT2 inhibitors in future clinical trials.
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**Table 2. Safety outcomes by baseline MRA use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Safety outcomes, n (%)</th>
<th>Baseline MRA use</th>
<th>No baseline MRA use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dapagliflozin (n = 109)</td>
<td>Placebo (n = 120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study drug discontinuation because of adverse event</td>
<td>4 (3.7)</td>
<td>6 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any serious adverse event</td>
<td>43 (39.4)</td>
<td>51 (42.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRA, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist.

*Includes death; safety outcomes were analyzed in the safety population (N = 4298).
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